

New separation theorems and sub-exponential time algorithms for packing and piercing of fat objects

Farhad Shahrokhi

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, UNT
P.O.Box 13886, Denton, TX 76203-3886, USA farhad@cs.unt.edu

Abstract

For $\mathcal{C}$ a collection of n objects in R^d , let the packing and piercing numbers of $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $Pack(\mathcal{C})$, and $Pierce(\mathcal{C})$, respectively, be the largest number of pairwise disjoint objects in $\mathcal{C}$, and the smallest number of points in R^d that are common to all elements of $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. When elements of $\mathcal{C}$ are fat objects of arbitrary sizes, we derive sub-exponential time algorithms for the NP-hard problems of computing $Pack(\mathcal{C})$ and $Pierce(\mathcal{C})$, respectively, that run in $n^{O_d(Pack(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}$ and $n^{O_d(Pierce(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}$ time, respectively, and $O(n \log n)$ storage. Our main tool which is interesting in its own way, is a new separation theorem. The algorithms readily give rise to polynomial time approximation schemes (PTAS) that run in $n^{O((\frac{1}{\epsilon})^{d-1})}$ time and $O(n \log n)$ storage. The results favorably compare with many related best known results. Specifically, our separation theorem significantly improves the splitting ratio of the previous result of Chan, whereas, the sub-exponential time algorithms significantly improve upon the running times of very recent algorithms of Fox and Pach for packing of spheres.

1 Introduction and Summary

An effective tool in the design of divide and conquer graph algorithms is separation. The separator theorem of Lipton and Tarjan [15],[16] asserts that any n vertex planar graph can be separated into two subgraphs with a splitting ratio of $1/3 - 2/3$, that is, each subgraph having at most $2n/3$ vertices, by removing $O(\sqrt{n})$ vertices. Additional results for graphs and geometric objects have been obtained by Alon et al [3], Alber and Fiala [2], Miller et al [17], Smith and Wormald [18], Chan [4], Fox and Pach [8] [9],[10],[12], Fox, Pach and Toth [11], and Shahrokhi [19].

1.1 Past Related Results

Throughout this paper $\mathcal{C}$ denotes a finite collection of subsets of R^d of cardinality n . Miller et al [17] proved that given $\mathcal{C}$ a set of spheres in R^d , where d is fixed and each point is common to only a constant number of spheres, there is a sphere S in R^d ,

so that at most $\frac{d+1}{d+2}n$ of the spheres in $\mathcal{C}$ are entirely inside of S , at most $\frac{d+1}{d+2}n$ are entirely outside, and at most $O_d(n^{\frac{d-1}{d}})$ intersect the boundary of S . Smith and Wormald [18], among other results, derived variations of this fundamental result, where the separator is a box, and hence, reduced the splitting ratio to $1/3 - 2/3$ in any dimension d . Nonetheless, their result required *disjointness* assumption of the spheres, which could be weakened to the assumption that there is a very small overlapping among the objects. Since the intersection graphs of many geometric objects exhibit a large vertex connectivity, it is impossible to separate them with the removal of a small number of vertices. Consequently, researchers, have focused on separating intersection graphs of geometric objects, including spheres, with respect to other measures.

Alber and Fiala [2] studied the separation properties of unit discs in R^2 with respect to the area which coincides with the packing number, in case of unit discs. For a set $\mathcal{C}$ of unit discs in R^2 , they derived a separator theorem with a constant splitting ratio, used it to compute the $Pack(\mathcal{C})$ in $n^{O(\sqrt{Pack(\mathcal{C})})}$ time, and also derived a PTAS for computing the packing number.

Chan [4] studied the packing and piercing numbers of fat objects of arbitrary sizes in R^d . He introduced the concept of a measure on fat objects that coincides with the packing and piercing numbers, and generalized the separation result of Smith and Wormald for this measure. In simple words, Chan's beautiful separation theorem asserts that given a set $\mathcal{C}$ of n fat objects in R^d , with a sufficiently large measure $\mu(\mathcal{C})$, there is a cube R so that the measure of objects inside of R is at most $(1 - \alpha)\mu(\mathcal{C})$, the measure of objects outside of R is at most $(1 - \alpha)\mu(\mathcal{C})$, and the measure of objects intersecting R is $n^{O_d(\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}$, where α is a constant whose value is about $\frac{1}{2^{d+1}}$. Chan then used his separation theorem to design Polynomial time Approximation Schemes (PTAS) for packing and piercing problems that run in $n^{O((\frac{1}{\epsilon})^d)}$ time and $O(n)$ space. Specifically, he improved the running time of the best previous PTAS for packing problem that was due to Erlebach et al [5].

In [19], we obtained a combinatorial measure sep-

aration theorem for a class of graphs containing the intersection graphs of nearly fat objects in R^d , and obtained sub-exponential algorithms and PTAS for packing and piercing number of unit high rectangles, unit discs, and other related problems.

Fox and Pach [8], [9], [10] have developed a series of new separator theorems for planar curves and string graphs. These results highly generalize the planar separator theorem and have striking applications in combinatorial geometry. Very recently, they discovered that their methods can be used to solve the maximum independent set problem, in sub-exponential time, for a variety of geometric graphs [12]. Specifically, they have just reported a sub-exponential time algorithm with the running time of $2^{O_d(n^{\frac{d}{d+1}} \text{polylog} n)}$ (or $n^{O_d(n^{\frac{d}{d+1}})}$), for computing the packing number of spheres.

1.2 Our Results

We present several main results. First, we present an improvement to Chan's separation theorem which is obtained by combining some of his ideas with the original work of Smith and Wormald. Specifically, using a box of aspect ratio 2 in R^d , as the separator, we obtain a parametric splitting ratio of $1/3 - 2/3(1 + \epsilon)$, for any $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for separating the measure, independent of the dimension. Moreover, we provide an explicit (constant) lower bound for $\mu(\mathcal{C})$, in terms of d and ϵ , for obtaining such a suitable separation, contrasting the result in [4] that was obtained for *sufficiently large values*. We use this separation theorem to derive sub-exponential time algorithms for packing and piercing problems, running in $n^{O_d(\text{Pack}(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}$ and $n^{O_d(\text{Pierce}(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}$ time, respectively, and $O(n \log n)$ storage. Finally, we convert these algorithms to PTAS that run in $n^{O((\frac{1}{\epsilon})^{d-1})}$ time and $O(n \log n)$ storage.

2 Preliminaries

For a closed set B in R^d , let δ_B denote the boundary of B , and note that $\delta_B \subseteq B$. Let $\bar{B}$ denote $R^d - B$, that is, $\bar{B}$ is the set of points *outside* of B . A collection $\mathcal{C}$ of objects in R^d is fat, if for every r and size r box R , we can choose a constant number c of points such that every object in $\mathcal{C}$ that intersects R and has size at least r contains one of these points [4]. It should be noted that the class of fat objects contains spheres, cubes, and boxes with bounded aspect ratios.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a collection of subsets of R^d , and let μ be a mapping that assigns non-negative values to subsets of $\mathcal{C}$. Chan [4] calls μ a measure, if for any $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ the following hold.

- (i) $\mu(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{B})$, if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

- (ii) $\mu(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \leq \mu(\mathcal{A}) + \mu(\mathcal{B})$.

- (iii) $\mu(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) = \mu(\mathcal{A}) + \mu(\mathcal{B})$, if no object in $\mathcal{A}$ intersects an object in $\mathcal{B}$.

- (iv) Given any $r > 0$ and any size- r box R in R^d , if every object in $\mathcal{A}$ has size at least R and intersects R , then $\mu(\mathcal{A}) \leq c$, for a constant c .

- (v) A constant-factor approximation to $\mu(\mathcal{A})$ can be computed in $|\mathcal{A}|^{O(1)}$ time. Moreover, if $\mu(\mathcal{A}) \leq b$, then, $\mu(\mathcal{A})$ can be computed exactly in $|\mathcal{A}|^{O(b)}$ time.

Note that feasible solutions to the packing and piercing problems gives rise to measures.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, and let B be a closed subset of R^d . Let $\mathcal{A}_{B-\delta_B}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{B}}$ denote, respectively, the set of all objects in $\mathcal{A}$ that are contained in $B - \delta_B$, or are completely inside of B , and the set of all objects in $\mathcal{A}$ that are contained in $\bar{B}$, or are completely outside of B , respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}_B$, and $\mathcal{A}_{\delta_B}$, denote the set of all objects in $\mathcal{A}$ that have their centers in B , and the set of all objects in $\mathcal{A}$ that have a point in common with δ_B .

Aspect ratio of a box in R^d is the ratio of its longest side to its shortest side. Chan [4] proved the following separation theorem.

Theorem 1 *Given a measure μ satisfying (i) – (iv) and a collection $\mathcal{C}$ of n objects in R^d with sufficiently large $\mu(\mathcal{C})$ there is a box R with $\mu(\mathcal{C}_{R-\delta_R}), \mu(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{R}}) \geq \alpha \mu(\mathcal{C})$, and $\mu(\mathcal{C}_{\delta_R}) = O_d(n^{\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}}})$, where α is some fixed constant. Moreover, if (v) is satisfied then, R can be computed in polynomial time and linear space.*

3 The Separation Theorem

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a set of n objects in R^d , $d \geq 2$ and let μ be a measure on $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying (i) – (iv), and let $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$. If $\mu(\mathcal{C}) \geq (\frac{3 \cdot c \cdot d^2 \cdot 8^d}{\epsilon})^d$, then, there is a box R so that*

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}_{R-\delta_R}) \leq \frac{2}{3}(1 + \epsilon)\mu(\mathcal{C}), \mu(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{R}}) \leq \frac{2}{3}(1 + \epsilon)\mu(\mathcal{C}),$$

and

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}_{\delta_R}) = O_d(n^{\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}}}).$$

Proof. Let B be a minimum volume box with aspect ratio at most 2 with $\mu(\mathcal{C}_B) \geq (\frac{1+\epsilon}{3})\mu(\mathcal{C})$, whose side lengths are $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_d, l_d \leq 2l_1$. Let s denote the center of B . For any m with $1 \leq m < 2^{\frac{1}{d}}$, let B_m be the box that is the magnified version of B by the magnification factor m . Thus, B_m is a box of side lengths $l_1^m \leq l_2^m \leq \dots \leq l_d^m, l_d^m \leq 2l_1^m$, that has center s , and contains B . Note that $l_i^m = ml_i < 2^{\frac{1}{d}}l_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, and hence the volume of B_m is strictly less than 2 times the volume of B . By cutting B_m ,

in the middle of its longest side, we can decompose B into two boxes $B_m^i, i = 1, 2$, of aspect ratio at most two, each having a volume strictly smaller than volume of B . Thus, $\mu(\mathcal{C}_{B_m^i}) < (\frac{1+\epsilon}{3})\mu(\mathcal{C})$, $i = 1, 2$, since B has the minimum volume. Consequently, using (ii), we deduce that $\mu(\mathcal{C}_{B_m}) < \frac{2}{3}(1+\epsilon)\mu(\mathcal{C})$. Therefore, $\mu(\mathcal{C}_{B_m - \delta B_m}) < \frac{2}{3}(1+\epsilon)\mu(\mathcal{C})$. Next, let C_m be a cube of side length l_d^m having center s , and note that area of δC_m is $2.d.l_d^{m,d-1} < 2^{\frac{2d-1}{d}}.d.l_d^{d-1} < 4.d.l_d^{d-1}$. Now, let $l = \frac{l_d}{8\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{1}{d}}}$, and note that δC_m , and hence δB_m

can be covered with at most $d.8^d.\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$ cubes of size l . Let $\mathcal{C}^1$ denote the set of all objects in $\mathcal{C}$ of size at least l , and let $1 \leq m < 2^{\frac{1}{d}}$. Then, by (iv), $\mu(\mathcal{C}_{\delta B_m}^1) \leq c.d.8^d.\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$. Similarly, let $\mathcal{C}^2$ denote the set of all objects in $\mathcal{C}$ of size strictly smaller than l . We need the following claim to finish the proof.

Claim. Let $1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < 2^{\frac{1}{d}}$, with $m_2 - m_1 \geq \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{1}{d}}}$, let $A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\delta B_{m_1}}^2$, and let $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\delta B_{m_2}}^2$. Then, $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

Justification. For any $x, y \in R^d$, let $distance(x, y)$ denote the distance between x and y . Note that $m_2 - m_1 \geq \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{1}{d}}}$ implies that for any two points $a \in \delta B_{m_2}$ and $b \in \delta B_{m_1}$, we must have $distance(a, b) \geq \frac{l_1}{2\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{1}{d}}} \geq \frac{l_d}{4\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{1}{d}}} \geq 2.l$. Assume to the contrary that $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$, and let $x \in A_1 \cap A_2$. Let $x_1 \in A_1 \cap \delta B_{m_1}$, and let $x_2 \in A_2 \cap \delta B_{m_2}$. Note that $distance(x, x_1) < l$ and $distance(x, x_2) < l$, and thus, $distance(x_1, x_2) < 2.l$ which is a contradiction. $\square$

Now use the claim and employ (ii) to conclude that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (2^{\frac{1}{d}}-1)\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{1}{d}} \rfloor} \mu(\mathcal{C}_{\delta B_{1+j/\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{1}{d}}}}^2) \leq \mu(\mathcal{C}).$$

It follows that there is a j so that for $m^* = 1 + \frac{j}{\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{1}{d}}}$, we have $\mu(\mathcal{C}_{\delta B_{m^*}}^2) \leq \frac{\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}}}{2^{\frac{1}{d}-1}} \leq d^2\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$, since, $\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{d}-1}} \geq \frac{1}{d^2}$. We conclude that $\mu(\mathcal{C}_{\delta B_{m^*}}) \leq c.d^2.8^d.n\mu(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$. Now let $R = B_{m^*}$, and to finish the proof note that for $\mu(\mathcal{C}) \geq (\frac{3c.d^2.8^d}{\epsilon})^d$, we have $\mu(\mathcal{C}_R) \leq \frac{\epsilon\mu(\mathcal{C}_R)}{3}$. $\square$.

4 Sub-Exponential Time Algorithms

Our next result is the following.

Theorem 3 Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a set of n fat objects in R^d , $d \geq 2$, then $Pack(\mathcal{C})$ and $Pierce(\mathcal{C})$ can be computed in $n^{O(Pack(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}$ and $n^{O(Pierce(\mathcal{C})^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}$, respectively, and $O(n \log n)$ storage.

Proof. We provide the details for computing packing number; The details relevant to the computation of piercing number are similar, but slightly different. We use the following recursive algorithm adopted from [16] and tailored to our needs, which we previously utilized in [19].

Step 1. Determine an approximate solution μ to the packing number using (iv). Choose a separation parameter $\alpha = (1 + \epsilon)$ for Theorem 2, and let $C = C(d)$ be the Lower bound on $\mu(\mathcal{C})$ in Theorem 2. Test using (v) to determine if $Pack(\mathcal{C}) \leq C$. If so, then compute a solution in $O(n^C)$ time and return it. If not, proceed to the recursive step.

Step 2 (Recursive Step). Find box R by applying Theorem 2 to μ . For any independent set of objects $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\delta B}$ compute $Pack(\mathcal{C}_B - N(\mathcal{I}))$, $Pack(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{B}} - N(\mathcal{I}))$, and return

$$\max_{\mathcal{I}} \{Pack(\mathcal{C}_B - N(\mathcal{I})) + Pack(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{B}} - N(\mathcal{I})) + |\mathcal{I}|\}$$

where the maximum is taken overall independent sets of objects $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\delta B}$.

It is easy to verify that the algorithm computes $Pack(\mathcal{C})$ correctly. For the running time, let $T(n, p)$ denote the execution time of the algorithm on an instance of the problem with $Pack(\mathcal{C}) = p$. Note that, $T(n, p) \leq n^{O(p^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}T(n, ((1 - \alpha)p))$, if $p \geq b$; Otherwise $T(n, p) \leq O(n^b)$. It is not difficult to verify that $T(n, p) = n^{O(p^{\frac{d-1}{d}})}$ as claimed. $\square$

5 Approximation Algorithms

The algorithms in the previous section gives rise to polynomial time approximation schemes (PTAS) for both of stated problems with $n^{O((\frac{1}{\epsilon})^{d-1})}$ time and $O(n \log n)$ storage. For the PTAS, one can slightly modify the original divide and conquer approach in [15].

Specifically, one must first obtain an constant time approximation solution to the problem using (v), use it to define the measure μ , and apply the separation theorem, or Theorem 2, to this μ . In the recursive step, the divide and conquer algorithm stops when the value of the measure is ϵ -small*. That is, if the value of the measure is $O((\frac{1}{\epsilon})^2)$, then an exact solution is computed by the application of our sub-exponential time algorithm. The claims concerning the running time, storage, and quality of the approximation are easy to verify.

References

- [1] Agarwal, P.K., Kreveld, M., Suri, S., Label placement by maximum independent sets in rectan-

- gles, *Comput. Geometry: Theory and Appl.* 11(3-4) 209-218, 1998.
- [2] Alber J., Fiala J., Geometric Separation and Exact Solutions for the Parameterized Independent Set Problem on Disk Graphs, *Journal of Algorithms*, Volume 52 , Issue 2, 134 - 151, 2004
- [3] N. Alon, P. Seymour, and R. Thomas, A separator theorem for graphs with an excluded minor and its applications, *Proceedings 22nd ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, STOC90*, 1990, 293-299.
- [4] Chan T., Polynomial-time approximation schemes for packing and piercing fat objects , *Journal of Algorithms*, 46(2), 178 - 189, 2003.
- [5] Erlebach T., Jansen K. and Seidel E., Polynomial-time approximation schemes for geometric graphs. *Proc. 12th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'01)*, 671-679, 2001.
- [6] Fox J., Pach J., A separator theorem for string graphs and its applications, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 2009.
- [7] Fox J., Pach J., Separator theorems and Turn-type results for planar intersection graphs, *Advances in Mathematics* 219, 1070-1080, 2008.
- [8] Fox J., Pach J., A separator theorem for string graphs and its applications, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 19(2010), 371-390.
- [9] Fox J., Pach J., Separator theorems and Turn-type results for planar intersection graphs, *Advances in Mathematics* 219, 1070-1080, 2008.
- [10] Fox J., Pach J., Coloring k -free intersection graphs of geometric objects in the plane, *Proceedings of the twenty-fourth annual symposium on Computational geometry*, 346-354, 2008.
- [11] Fox J, Pach J, Tóth C.D, Intersection patterns of curves, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2008)
- [12] J. Fox and J. Pach, Computing the independence number of intersection graphs. *SODA 2011*, 1161-1165.
- [13] Harry B. Hunt III, Marathe M. V., Radhakrishnan V., Ravi S. S, Rosenkrantz D. J., and Stearns R. E.. A Unified Approach to Approximation Schemes for NP- and PSPACE-Hard Problems for Geometric Graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 26, 135-149, 1996.
- [14] Hochbaum D.S., Maass W., Approximation Schemes for Covering and Packing Problems in Image Processing and VLSI. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 32(1), 130-136, 1985.
- [15] Lipton, R.J., Tarjan, R.E., Applications of a planar separator theorem, *SIAM J. Comput.*, 9(3), 615-628, 1980.
- [16] Lipton, R.J., Tarjan R.E, A separator theorem for planar graphs, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 36, 1979, 177-189. .
- [17] Miller, G.L., Teng, S., Thurston W., and Vavasis, S. A., Separators for Sphere-Packings and Nearest Neighborhood graphs, *JACM*, 44(1), 1-29, 1997
- [18] Smith and N.C. Wormald, Geometric separator theorems and applications. In *39th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science: FOCS '98*, pages 232-243, Palo Alto, CA, 1998.
- [19] Shahrokhi F., A New Separation Theorem with Geometric Applications, *EuroCG2010*.